The Collaborative Way[®] Survey

Date of self-assessment: _

Please rate your own practice level on each dimension below from 1 (Worst Level) to 10 (Best Level) based on your view of your conversations, actions, and contributions over the past 2–3 months. Please be honest with yourself, rating yourself as if you were observing yourself, without being overly critical and without sugar-coating. There is no neutral value, with 5 being slightly below your expectations and six being slightly above your expectations.

Listening Generously 1 = Worst Level 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 = Best Level			
With Curiosity and an Intention to Learn:	Not really interested in other points of view or what others have to say. Interrupts and forces opinions on others.	Genuinely interested in why people say what they say. Asks questions to gain further knowledge and understanding.	
Willing to be Influenced:	Doesn't want to change, compromise or accept other opinions. Uses listening only to find a way to make a point.	Open to new ideas, trying new things, and revising opinions. Listens with an openness to modifying a personal point of view.	
Setting Aside Filters:	Judges what people say based on past experiences and pre-conceived ideas.	Listens objectively without assumptions about what people will say or do. Sets aside preconceived perspectives and conclusions.	
Replicating and Recreating:	Rarely strives to listen beyond what is being said. Unable to re-state what the other person said.	For clarity, re-states what the other person has said. Reflects an appreciation of where the other person is coming from, including commitments and feelings.	
Influencing Action:	Is quick to give advice and rarely strives to understand the other person's situation.	Before giving advice, recommendations or other input, first attempts to appreciate the other person's perspective.	
	Average for Listening Generously:		

Speaking Straight 1 = Worst Level 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 = Best Level			
Speaking Up:	Has issues but doesn't say anything. Withholds relevant positions, opinions and/or information.		Quickly addresses issues with people who impede progress of tasks. States a position or opinion when relevant.
Honest and Forwarding:	Leaves false impressions. Presents personal opinion or biased, self-serving version of events. Moves discussion off course.		Forwards issues and discussion. Speaks factually and truthfully. Willing to change to achieve goals.
Clear and Direct Requests:	Makes unclear, vague requests. Leaves con- fusion about what is required to fulfill the request. Does not get clear time agreement.		Makes clear direct requests. Gains com- mitment for what's needed and time of completion.
Rigorous Discernment:	Presents personal opinions, interpretations and views as facts. Is unclear between giving input and making a request.		When speaking, distinguishes facts from opinions, views and interpretations. Is clear whether giving input or making a request.
Willing to Be Uncomfortable:	Avoids confrontation. Puts things off hoping, issues will go away. Is afraid to ask for commitment.		Willing to step outside comfort zone. Sets aside filters and addresses difficult issues, even if it might cause personal conflicts.
	Average for Speaking Straight:		

© 2009 by Lloyd Fickett & Associates, Inc.

Being For Each Other 1 = Worst Level 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 = Best Level			
Supporting Each Other's Success:	Only interested in own achievements. Doesn't value what others do or want to help them. Knowingly condones and accepts substandard performance.	Committed to success of others and the company's success. Honors others' choices, efforts and achievements. Demands their best performance.	
Intervening in Gossip:	Enters discussions with others who talk behind other people's backs and spreads rumors. Doesn't seek out facts to clear up the situation.	Lets people know when they're gossiping. Supports person getting grounded in facts and initiating clean-up with the other person.	
Doing Timely Clean-ups:	Will only clean-up misunderstanding and conflict issues when forced to do so. Does not take responsibility for participation in the misunderstanding. Does not apologize when appropriate.	Quickly initiates action to clean-up misunderstandings and personal frictions. Takes responsibility for participation in the situation. This may include an apology.	
Looks for Positive Intent:	Quick to blame and distrusts other people's intentions when something goes wrong or conflict arises.	When something goes wrong or conflicts arise, assumes others intended to contribute. Instead of blaming, works to reveal the facts of the situation and moves things forward.	
Giving Encouragement:	Rarely encourages anyone. Doesn't acknowl- edge others' achievements or puts others down.	Can be counted on to encourage others. Motivates further achievements by giving positive feedback, plus constructive advice when others are stuck.	
	Average for Being For Each Other:		

Honoring Commitments 1 = Worst Level 1 2 3 4 5 | 6 7 8 9 10 10 = Best Level

0		
Receiver Responsibility:	Accepts commitments despite personal doubt that they will be kept. Does not follow- up nor offer support to others to help them keep their commitments.	Does not accept commitments when skepti- cal about outcome. Checks in with people prior to commitment dates to see if things have changed, or if they need help meeting commitments.
Managing Effectively:	Doesn't keep track of commitments made or received. Never lets others know if there is a danger that a commitment will not be kept.	Keeps track of commitments. Always lets others know if there is a danger a commit- ment may not be kept. Helps others meet commitments by providing reminders and assistance.
Navigating Obstacles:	When faced with uncomfortable issues and challenges, does not keep promises. Makes excuses or blames others.	When faced with obstacles, creatively works through difficulties and challenges to find a way to keep promises.
Resolving Broken Agreements:	Does not confront person who broke the agreement with them. Does not acknowledge a broken commitment made with others. Will offer excuses and justification for the broken promise.	As an advocate, confronts person who breaks an agreement. Acknowledges a broken com- mitment made with others. In both cases, ensures learning and corrective action are undertaken.
Forwarding Accomplishment:	Makes commitments without consideration or voicing concerns/doubts about impact. Often accepts commitments without explor- ing if they are attainable or realistic.	Before making a commitment, examines whether it forwards overall objective. Never makes nor accepts unrealistic, unattainable commitments.
Average for Honoring Commitments:		

Acknowledgement/Appreciation 1=Worst Level 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10=Best Level			
Giving in All Directions:	Rarely acknowledges people. Limits acknowledgements to direct subordinates or favorites.		Recognizes contributions by others across all levels of the company and expresses authentic appreciation.
Noticing and Seizing the Opportunity:	Rarely takes time to notice contribution of others. Infrequently acknowledges others.		Takes time to notice opportunities for acknowledgement and does something about it. Makes frequent acknowledgments part of normal routine.
Giving with Depth:	Only gives superficial acknowledgement, i.e. "good job". Never mentions what the person went through or had to overcome to produce the result.		When acknowledging people, goes into specifics including challenges overcome or sacrifices made. When appropriate, shares impact personally felt by the contribution.
Receiving Openly:	Brushes off or deflects acknowledgements. Doesn't accept compliments graciously. Suspicious of appreciation expressed by others.		Accepts compliments graciously and doesn't minimize them. Sincerely thanks others for the acknowledgement.
Requesting When Missing:	Doesn't speak up or often complains about lack of acknowledgement.		Makes requests to appropriate parties that missing acknowledgements be expressed.
Average	for Acknowledgement/Appreciation:		

Leadership	eadership 1 = Worst Level 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 = Best Level		
Inclusion:	Rarely includes others in the decision making process. When including others, often chooses the wrong people or too many people.		Regularly includes others in decision making (before, during and after). Effectively chooses who should be included and how to include them.
Ethic of Responsibility:	If not team leader, rarely takes on responsi- bility for success of the team.		As a team member, embraces responsibility for success of team and team leader.
Context of Learning:	Rarely supports and coaches others in their practice of The Collaborative Way. Often blames or declares others wrong for their levels of practice.		Regularly supports and coaches others in their practice of The Collaborative Way. Helps others learn from mistakes and correct them.
Context of Learning:	Is not open to support and coaching from others in their practice of The Collaborative Way. Tends to get defensive. Unwilling to acknowledge, correct and learn from mistakes.		Open to support and coaching from others in personal practice of The Collaborative Way. Willing to acknowledge, correct and learn from mistakes.
Ир То:	Rarely ensures that the purpose and objec- tives of meetings are clear. Often moves conversations away from accomplishing the intended results.		Consistently ensures that the purpose and objectives of meetings are clear. Keeps conversations focused on producing the intended results.
	Average for Leadership:		
	Overall Average:		